

### **Communication Certification Laboratory**

RECEIVED

JUN 0 5 1995

FCC MAIL ROOM

June 2, 1995

Office of The Secretory Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street N.W. Washington DC 20554 DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Subject: Comments On ET Docket No. 95-19

Enclosed are six copies of comments from Communication Certification Laboratory (CCL). The comments are for the above referenced docket number.

Sincerely yours,

COMMUNICATION CERTIFICATION LABORATORY

Joseph W. Jackson Marketing Manager

Enclosures

No. of Copies rec'd\_ List A B C D E

# Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, DC 20554

# JUN 0 5 1995

FCC MAIL ROOM

In the Matter of	DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL
Amendment of Parts 2 and 15 ) of the Commission's Rules to ) Deregulate the Equipment )	
Authorization Requirements )	ET Docket No. 95-19

#### Communication Certification Laboratory's Comments

Communication Certification Laboratory (CCL) is an independent testing laboratory involved in the testing of products to the Federal Communication Commission (FCC) requirements contained in Part 15 of the rules. CCL actively participates in discussions with both Industry and Government in considering Electromagnetic Compatibility (EMC) issues both domestically and internationally. CCL participates in the advisory committee, Consultative Committee - Telecommunications (CCT) relating to the implementation of the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA).

CCL supports the FCC Notice of Proposed Rulemaking for the use of Manufacturer's Declaration of Conformity (DOC) along with the use of formally accredited testing facilities. This proposal provides the needed balance in simplifying the certification process and maintaining some assurance that the testing will be performed correctly. Without the use of accredited laboratories

the use of Declarations of Conformity would have serious shortcomings.

#### Requirement for Accreditation

Currently the FCC requires that the testing facility used for preparing the test data to support an application for certification be listed by the FCC. This listing is intended to ensure that the test site used to measure RF emissions will produce accurate results. As noted in the NPRM this process does not address the competence of the testing laboratory. proposal to use the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) accreditation program known as "National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program" (NVLAP) is a logical and sensible proposal. The program operated by NVLAP provides for laboratory accreditation in accordance with international standards. Such a program will be a benefit to the testing industry in the United States when dealing with the global marketplace. Considering the terms of the North American Free Trade Agreement, the FCC should be considering the use of international standards.

CCL recognizes that there is a fee for accreditation by NVLAP. Such fees are based on the cost to administer the program. Considering the political climate in Washington, DC, it is very probable that if the FCC continues to administer a program of listing test laboratories that a fee will eventually be charged for this service. From a testing laboratory

perspective it would be best to only require one laboratory approval. CCL feels that the NVLAP accreditation, being more comprehensive, is the appropriate method to use.

#### Harmonization with International Systems

Product approval based on a manufacturer's declaration of conformity is rapidly becoming more and more the norm in international conformity assessment systems. A review of the European Community's system shows how the manufacturer's DOC concept can be effectively used in many areas. Currently, much of their EMC Directive, Low Voltage Directive, and Machinery Directive and Medical Device Directive have some provisions for a manufacturer's DOC. However, that is not the end of the system. Notified and Competent Bodies, which can be viewed as akin to the accredited laboratories, are an important part in making the process work. The United States Government should not only look at harmonizing with international conformity assessment systems but, should also develop a system that serves the needs of the United States.

#### Protection of the United States Consumer

Although it is logical that all prudent and responsible U.S. manufacturers could be trusted to fulfill all of the requirements of a system based on DOCs, it would be foolish to believe that all foreign manufacturers would be as committed to compliance. In the interest of protecting the U.S. Consumer, it is

appropriate for the FCC to provide a mechanism to ensure that the testing is performed correctly. Currently, in the United States, there is an increased awareness and concern with electromagnetic interference. The FCC should be commended for addressing the problem of interference from computing devices with their administration of Part 15. It would be unfortunate to step backwards, by not providing an adequate means of enforcing the FCC rules.

#### International Importance of Laboratory Accreditation

Australia, South Korea, Canada, Mexico and the European Union, are imposing mandated laboratory accreditation systems. In meeting with representatives from Mexico and Canada as a part of the Consultative Committee - Telecommunications (CCT), there is significant interest in providing a means to assure that the testing laboratory is competent.

It should only be necessary for a testing laboratory to be accredited by the appropriate organization within the country where the laboratory is located. It should be reasonable to expect that Mutual Recognition Agreements (MRA) will be signed with other countries, that would permit NVLAP to accredit laboratories located in the United States to perform testing, which would be recognized by other countries, such as Mexico, Canada, Australia and the European Union. This would also mean, that the burden to accredit laboratories located outside of the United States would not fall on NVLAP, but would be performed by

the authorized body located within the foreign country.

#### Industry Support

CCL has had several conversations with various manufacturers in the telecommunications industry. CCL has observed, that there is support for the FCC's proposal to require laboratory accreditation. Appendix A identifies some of those companies who have expressed support for the FCCs proposal.

#### Label Requirements

CCL supports the concept of a single mark. In first, considering the requirements within the United States it would make sense to only require one mark to show compliance with EMC (Part 15), Telecom (Part 68), and the appropriate Product Safety standard as required by the Occupational Health and Safety Administration (OSHA). The next logical step would be to extend the concept of a single mark to include the NAFTA partners, Mexico and Canada. Discussions should be held with the appropriate agencies in the United States, Canada and Mexico to determine if a harmonized mark is possible. CCL believes that a harmonized mark will serve the needs of the telecommunications industry, the public and regulatory authorities in helping to quickly determine that the product has been determined to be in compliance with the appropriate regulations.

#### Summary

- 1. The proposal to use Manufacturer Declaration of Conformity should be adopted conditioned on adequate and appropriate means being implemented to ensure compliance.
- 2. CCL supports the requirement to use NVLAP in accrediting test laboratories. NVLAP is a well established program and is recognized internationally. It is appropriate and logical for the FCC to use this program.
- 3. A single mark should be developed to show compliance with all applicable regulations.

Respectfully Submitted,

Communication Certification Laboratory

William S. Hurst, P.E.

Vice President

1940 West Alexander Street Salt Lake City, UT 84119-2039

801/972-6146

May 23, 1995

7

#### Appendix A Manufacturer Support for NPRM HT Docket No. 95-19

I the undersigned, express support for the FCC Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM), ET Docket, No. 95-19, which provides for Manufacturer Declaration of Conformity (DOC) and the use of the National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program (NVLAP) as an appropriate means to provide for the accreditation of testing facilities.

William D. PODERSEN
Name
Title ENGINEEN III
CTENTINER COMMUNICATIONS CORP.
Company
Lithe Drich
Signature
May 26, 1995

CCF

#### Appendix A Manufacturer Support for MPRK ET Docket No. 95-19

	CHRIS J. HUNT
Name	
	SENIOR COMPLIANCE ENGINEER
Tit.	le
	INTRENATIONAL GAME TECHNOLOGY
Com	Pany
Sia	natur
~	
	MAY 30 1905
That.	

#### Appendix A Manufacturer Support for NPRM ST Docket Ro. 95-19

I the undersigned, express support for the FCC Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM), ET Docket, No. 95-19, which provides for Manufacturer Declaration of Conformity (DOC) and the use of the National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program (NVLAP) as an appropriate means to provide for the accreditation of testing facilities.

JACQUES MAKEBRANCHE
Name
Regulatory Agency Manager
Pennil Datability NETworks
· •
Jagues Mallrouche Signature
5/31/95
Date

WAK-58-86 FRI 10:33 CCL

SENT BY:

#### Appendix A Hanufacturer Support for NPRM ET Docket No. 95-19

Scharde Burnen, P.E.
Name /
Fitte Staff Engineer
Company Situ Anc.
Juliard K. Dierman
Signature
5/31/95

5-91-95 ;12:49PM ;

### Appendix A Manufacturer Support for MPRM ET Docket No. 95-19

	William I taker	
Name		
	Poly Cont Tol	
Title	Product Support Tech	<del></del>
,	In-Situ Inc	
Compan		
٠.	h c l d a s-a	•
	Willan of The	
Signat	ure	
	5/31/95	
Date		

SENT BY:

#### Appendix A Manufacturer Support for MPRM ET Docket No. 95-19

Name	165 6	Kelo	1	
Man Title	ages.	RED		
Ta- Company	Situ	tae.		
Signatur	W S	9. <i>7</i>	be-	
	125			

#### Appendix A Manufacturer Support for MPRM ET Docket No. 95-19

	J. D. Dauxes	
Name		
	Technical Advisor	
Title		
	FastComm Communications Corporation	
Compan	ny	
	J. D. Ballian	-
Signat	ture	
	30 May 1995	
Date		

### Appendix A Manufacturer Support for NPRM NT Docket No. 95-19

JON R. HINTON	
Name	
DESIGN ENGINEER	
Title	
ANGIA COMMUNICATIONS	
Company	
Signature Huter	
Signature	
5/31/95	
5/31/95 Dage	
山体系统 /	

### Appendix A Manufacturer Support for MPHM BT Docket No. 95-19

I the undersigned, express support for the FCC Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM), ET Docket, No. 95-19, which provides for Manufacturer Declaration of Conformity (DOC) and the use of the National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program (MVLAP) as an appropriate means to provide for the accreditation of testing facilities.

CHE	MAN L. BHARDWAT
Name	
<u> </u>	egulator Agency Engineer
THETE	
	Megahertz Coop.
Company	3
	Cheman L. Bhardway
Signatur	
	6/1/95
Date	

IBIT\_OO\_OO TOR THE FROM Megahertz W420:90 S66T-T0-90

MAY-26-95 FRI 10:11

Appendix A Manufacturer Support for MFRM ET Docket No. 95-19

I the undersigned, express support for the FCC Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM), RT Docket, No. 95-19, which provides for Manufacturer Declaration of Conformity (DOC) and the use of the National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program (NVLAP) as an appropriate means to provide for the accreditation of testing facilities.

PHILIP T NICHOLS

MECHANICAL ENGINEER

#### Agreedit A Manufacturer Support for EPRM ET Docket No. 95-19

I the undersigned, express support for the FCC Notice of Proposed Rulewaking (MPRM), ET Docket, No. 95-19, which provides for Manufacturer Declaration of Conformity (DOC) and the use of the National Valuntary Laboratory Accreditation Program (NVLAP) as an appropriate means to provide for the accreditation of testing facilities.

	ERIC TAYLOR
Name	
	PRODUCT COMPLIANCE ENGINEER
Title	
<u>.</u>	ASPECT TELECOMMUNICATIONS
Company	Y :
	Eric Taylor
Signati	uré
	Que 2, 1995
Date	7/

80 4

ז.עע וצחי מחופולסאלסל

TOTAL P.01

. . . .

CCL

FAX NO. 8019728432

P. 08

## Appendix A Manufacturer Support for MPRM ET Docket No. 95-19

Rick Packard	
Name	
EMC Technician Title	
Tomaga Corp.	
Signature	
Signature	
6-2-95 Date	